

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTU.  
Inten

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED



WO 9602126A1

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : <b>A01G 25/00, E02B 13/00</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 96/02126</b> (43) International Publication Date: <b>1 February 1996 (01.02.96)</b>
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/GB95/01659</b>		(81) Designated States: AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD, SZ, UG).	
(22) International Filing Date: <b>13 July 1995 (13.07.95)</b>		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: <b>9414302.1 15 July 1994 (15.07.94) GB</b>			
(71) Applicant ( <i>for all designated States except US</i> ): <b>ALLIED COLLOIDS LIMITED [GB/GB]; P.O. Box 38, Low Moor, Bradford, West Yorkshire BD12 0JZ (GB).</b>			
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants ( <i>for US only</i> ): <b>CHAMBERLAIN, Peter [GB/GB]; 40 Moorhead Lane, Shipley, West Yorkshire BD18 4JT (GB). COLE, Richard, Everett [US/US]; 9109 Rodden Road, Oakdale, CA 95361 (US).</b>			
(74) Agent: <b>GILL JENNINGS &amp; EVERY; Broadgate House, 7 Eldon Street, London EC2M 7LH (GB).</b>			
(54) Title: <b>IRRIGATION PROCESS</b>			
(57) Abstract			
<p>Soil adjacent to an elongated furrow is irrigated by flowing the water from a header into and along the furrow, and erosion of the furrow is reduced and infiltration into the soil is increased by dissolving solid flocculant in the header or in the furrow into the water. The solid flocculant is best provided as a block of particulate flocculant bonded by a water-soluble wax. The irrigation process is best conducted on a cyclic basis with the solid flocculant being removed from the header or furrow during the cycle and with the supply of water being continued so that there is subsequent run-off of water from the furrow.</p>			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

IRRIGATION PROCESS

This invention relates to irrigation processes and solid polymeric products for use in them.

5 There are several different ways of irrigating soil so as to improve the growth of crops in the soil. For instance water can be sprayed from a store of water which may be in the form of stream, canal, pond, lake or river or it can be allowed to flood over the soil, from such a store.

10 One important method of irrigating soil comprises furrow irrigation in which water is supplied into and caused to flow along a furrow and irrigation occurs as a result of infiltration (or permeation) of water from the 15 furrow into the adjacent soil. In particular, there is lateral movement of water through the base and sides of the furrow, this often being referred to as lateral subbing.

The furrow irrigation technique is usually conducted batch wise by a process which involves supplying water from 20 a header into the inlet end of the furrow and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow. This infiltration occurs as the water advances towards an outlet end of the furrow and thereafter as the water runs-off from 25 the outlet end.

The period during which the water is advancing towards the outlet may be referred to as the advance stage, and during the advance stage there is no run-off from the outlet end. Lateral subbing will start to occur at the 30 inlet end as soon as water starts to advance along the furrow, but lateral subbing cannot occur at the outlet end until run-off is occurring. Accordingly it is normal to allow run-off to occur for sufficient period to provide adequate lateral subbing adjacent to the outlet end. The 35 supply of water is then terminated as a result of which all the water in the furrow will permeate into the soil and the

furrow may tend to dry out, to wait for the next cycle when the farmer decides that further irrigation is needed.

The furrow usually discharges its run-off into a tail ditch. Generally a plurality of furrows extend from a single header ditch and generally a plurality of furrows lead to a single tail ditch. The tail ditch for one set of furrows may serve as the header ditch for another set. Alternatively the tail ditch, or the individual furrows, may discharge their run-off into a river, lake or other natural water course.

The effectiveness of this process depends in part on soil type and soil structure, but it is known to give problems. These are caused especially by the presence of clay or silt in the soil and thus the problems are particularly serious on soils with a high clay or silt content.

One problem is that there is a tendency for the water to wash soil from the furrows into the tail ditch, with the result that the irrigation can promote soil erosion. Another problem is that there is a tendency for the soil in the base of the furrow to become compacted and caked as a result of the flow of irrigation water, with the result that there is inadequate permeation of water into the land adjacent the furrow. This is especially true if the furrow has dried out between irrigations. This compaction and caking can result in the water flowing through the furrow very much faster than is desirable, and this can further promote soil erosion. Also, if infiltration or permeation from the furrow into the soil is proving inadequate, there is a natural tendency or need to irrigate for longer periods, and this again tends to promote soil erosion.

The fact that furrow irrigation does cause undesirable soil erosion is well acknowledged and valuable soil has been lost from previously fertile areas over many years. For instance the Snake River in Idaho USA is reknowned for turning brown in May and June each year due to the annual start-up of furrow irrigation.

Despite the long standing problem, no satisfactory solution to it has previously been proposed.

It has been well known for many years that the structure of soil can be improved by cultivating into the 5 soil various water-soluble polymeric materials. These materials are generally known as soil conditioners and have the effect of bonding the soil particles in crumbs of soil into an open structure having increased resistance to collapse. This soil conditioning effect can be obtained 10 with a wide range of molecular weights, and many soil conditioners have low or medium molecular weight.

A water-retention product for use in agriculture and which comprises polyelectrolyte and polyethylene glycol is described in FR-A-2577563.

15 It is known from, for instance, US 3305019, US 3839302, 3860526 and EP-A-255283 to introduce polyelectrolyte into a liquid by flowing the liquid over a shaped body containing the polyelectrolyte.

20 Soil conditioners are usually applied direct to the soil that is being cultivated, but it is also known to include polymer in irrigation water. Thus, in US 3,435,618 Katzer proposed applying flocculant in an irrigation canal to promote water penetration of the soil. He also proposed adding a flocculant to irrigation streams, stock ponds, 25 lakes and rivers. He stated that a very small amount of flocculant in the water "will not flocculate solids but will have a beneficial effect in increasing the rate and depth of water penetration thus promoting shorter irrigation periods and more efficient use of the water". 30 He also stated that the amount of flocculant must be carefully controlled as too much "will tend to produce the reverse of the desired effect. That is too much of the flocculant will have a tendency to flocculate suspended particles in the irrigation water and thus blind the 35 surface of the soil to be irrigated".

Accordingly, Katzer teaches a process in which suspended solids in the irrigation water must not be

5 flocculated and that flocculation of them will reduce infiltration. Accordingly Katzer does not offer any solution to the problem of preventing soil erosion by the removal of suspended soil particles with the run-off while improving infiltration.

10 Katzer proposes that his effect of improved infiltration without any flocculation of suspended solids should be achieved by providing a predetermined rate of dissolution of a molded flocculant article formed from anionic polymer and polyethylene oxide. Although he mentions molecular weights up to 15 million or more, in the example the polymer is a 30% hydrolysed polyacrylamide having molecular weight at least 2 million. Katzer proposes that the molded article can be dissolved under 15 static conditions or in a flowing stream and that a uniform sustained release of polymer can be achieved if the molded article has a surface area which does not change appreciably as it dissolves, preferably a disk shape. The use of sodium, ammonium or potassium chloride or ammonium 20 nitrate, phosphate or sulphate as a diluent to adjust the dissolution rate of the molded article is mentioned.

25 The applicants have become aware that the Idaho State Soil Conservation Service (SCS) of the United States Department of Agriculture issued a draft interim standard as a Field Office Technical Guide, July 1994 but the actual date of publication is not known to the applicants. This guide refers to the addition to the furrow irrigation water of a solution of polyacrylamide flocculant and recommends that addition of the solution should terminate when run-off 30 has started. The flocculant is described as being supplied as a liquid or as being made-up as a solution by dissolving powdered flocculant in water. It is emphasised that it is essential that all the powder must be completely dissolved. These techniques necessitate either transporting large 35 volumes of solution to the place of use or the provision of bulky make-up apparatus and a source of clean water at the

place of use, and both of these are inconvenient and have proved impracticable.

Accordingly, even if this Guide was published before 15 July 1994 (which is not admitted), there remains an 5 urgent need to provide a method for reducing erosion of the furrow and maintaining or increasing infiltration.

A process according to the invention of irrigating soil adjacent to an elongated furrow that leads from an inlet end to an outlet end comprises

10 providing a supply of water from a header into the inlet end and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow as the water advances towards the outlet end during an advance stage and thereafter as water 15 runs-off from the outlet end and

then terminating the supply, and in which erosion of the furrow is reduced and the infiltration is increased throughout the process by flocculating suspended soil particles from the water by positioning 20 water-erodible block material which contains water-soluble polymeric flocculant at a dissolution position in the header or inlet end and flowing the supply of water turbulently over the block material from the start of the advance stage and thereby dissolving polymeric flocculant 25 into the supply of water, and

removing any residual block material from the dissolution position before substantial run-off occurs.

After a sufficient supply of water has been provided to give the desired amount of infiltration along the length 30 of the furrow, and thus the desired amount of run-off, the water supply is terminated in conventional manner and the furrow is allowed to drain dry and usually also to evaporate dry. When it is desired to conduct further irrigation, the supply of water from the header into the 35 inlet end is resumed. The structure of the furrow may be such that this water will not cause erosion and will infiltrate satisfactorily without the addition of further

5 flocculant. Generally, however, when the supply of water is resumed the entire process is repeated, namely the water erodible block material is located at a dissolution position from the start of the advance stage and is removed before substantial run-off occurs. This is required particularly when the soil surface has been cultivated or otherwise disturbed since the previous irrigation.

10 By the invention, we stabilise the soil aggregates in the furrow and we obtain flocculation of the suspended soil particles in the furrow. We obtain reduced erosion of the furrow throughout the entire process (including the run-off period when no fresh flocculant is being dissolved into the water). We obtain improved infiltration. Thus, we 15 flocculate soil particles in the inlet end into the base of the furrow as a result of appropriate dissolution of appropriate flocculant at the start of the process and we obtain a clear supernatant which advances down the furrow. Further, the water that is flowing down the furrow, and the run-off from the outlet end of the furrow, remains clear, 20 and substantially free of suspended soil particles, for prolonged periods after the removal of the flocculant block material. The supernatant appears clear relative to normal, turbid, run-off liquor, and in the invention the flowing supernatant usually appears clear when viewed by 25 the naked eye.

We achieve flocculation of the suspended soil particles close to the position where they are initially entrained and so there is little or no tendency to carry suspended particles along the length of the furrow and 30 thereby to erode the start of the furrow or to fill the end of the furrow. The invention therefore eliminates or reduces the traditional need repeatedly to dig out and to reshape the furrow manually.

35 The floc structure which is obtained provides the base of the furrow with an open texture that remains open even when the base of the furrow dries. In particular, careful observation shows that the flocs which are deposited in the

base of the furrow have a relatively strong particulate structure such that they have a rolling movement as water flows over them. Thus we stabilise both the flocs and the aggregates in the soil. The flocculation of the suspended  
5 soil particles prevents blinding of the base of the furrow and prevents erosion of the base and walls of the furrow and does instead result in the deposition of a layer of particulate floc material which increases infiltration.

It is necessary that sufficient of an effective  
10 flocculant should dissolve from the water-erodible block material at the very start of the advance stage that the advance front of water as it starts to travel down the furrow does contain sufficient flocculant to provide the desired flocculation. Suitable flocculants tend to  
15 dissolve slowly and/or to suffer from gel blocking and the degree of agitation obtained merely by laying the block material in the water as it flows along the header or along the inlet end will be inadequate to dissolve sufficient flocculant sufficiently quickly to provide a sufficient  
20 amount of dissolved flocculant in the advance front to prevent erosion by the advance front. It is therefore necessary that the water should be made to flow over the block material with more turbulence than would normally be present in the header or inlet end. One way of achieving  
25 this is to flow the water over the block while it is not submerged or is only partly submerged in water at the dissolution position, since this results in splashing and increased erosion of the block. Thus preferably the water falls onto exposed surfaces of the block material ie onto  
30 surfaces which are not protected from the falling water by being submerged under water.

It is preferred that the block material should be located at a point where the water pours downwardly onto the block material, which is generally only partly  
35 submerged in water at this position. The downward pouring of the water conveniently is provided by flow over a weir in the header or inlet end or by the flow from a discharge

duct, for instance a duct leading from the header into the inlet end. Thus one or more weirs may be provided in the header and/or inlet end and block material may be located adjacent each weir on the downstream side of it.

- 5 Alternatively, there may be a syphon on pump duct leading from the header into the inlet end and block material may be located beneath the discharge point from this duct. However any other way of generating a waterfall onto the block may be used. There may be one or more dissolution 10 positions in the header and/or in each furrow.

It is important in the invention that there should be no block material in the header or inlet end dissolving into the water supply once substantial run-off is occurring. This economises in the use of polymer, does not 15 increase erosion or reduce infiltration, and reduces the risk of significant amounts of dissolved flocculant being present in the run-off water and possibly reaching a river or other natural water course.

- It is possible to perform the invention merely by 20 selecting carefully the amount of block material which is provided at the dissolution position such that it is all utilised before substantial run-off occurs. However it is preferred to conduct the process using excess block material and to remove the residual block material from 25 each dissolution position before substantial run-off occurs. Thus substantially all block material not dissolved into the water supply should be removed before substantial run-off occurs so as to prevent further dissolution of it. This removal can occur either at 30 approximately the time when run-off is about to occur or slightly afterwards or at an earlier stage.

- For instance it can be satisfactory for dissolution to be terminated while the advance front is still only, for instance, 1/2 to 3/4 of the way along the furrow. Usually, 35 however, the supply is terminated when the advance front is between 3/4 of the way along the furrow towards the outlet end and near the start of run-off. Since the length of the

furrows is such that the precise start of run-off may not be known exactly, it is sufficient if removal occurs at a time which is estimated to be close to or within, for instance, half an hour of when run-off is expected to 5 start. In practice the rates of flow may vary in different furrows, for instance due to variations in compression (due to tractor wheels) in some of the furrows, and several furrows are usually treated substantially simultaneously. Typically, the polymer is removed when run-off has started 10 or is about to start in the great majority (eg 90%) of the furrows.

The duration of the advance stage and the run-off stage will depend upon the length of the furrow and the overall process conditions. For instance the furrow may 15 extend from around 100 metres to as much as 1,000 metres. Correspondingly, the advance stage may be as little as one hour or as much as twelve hours or even 18 hours. The run-off stage is usually at least 1/4 or 1/2 of the duration of the advance stage but is not usually more than the duration 20 of the advance stage, or 1.5 or 2 times the duration of the advance stage at most. Typically the advance stage starts in the morning and finishes early to late afternoon and the run-off stage continues to the next morning.

In order to facilitate removal of the residual block 25 material, the block material is preferably contained within a cage, the cage is located in the dissolution position before or at the start of the advance stage, and the cage is removed from that position before substantial run-off occurs. The cage can be any water-permeable container 30 which is such that water flowing around or through the container dissolves flocculant from within the container. For instance the container can have one or more walls formed of mesh material or it can be formed of a permeable fabric. Typically the cage can be an open dish or other 35 container formed of wire netting or plastic netting having a mesh size of, for instance, at least 5 mm and usually at least 10 mm. The mesh size should be small enough to

retain relatively small blocks of material, e.g. below 30 mm or 50 mm. Instead of using a cage container, any other type of support holder for the block material can be used.

The preferred way of performing the process is to provide the cage with more block material than will be required during a cycle of the process, remove the cage while it contains undissolved block material, and add fresh block material to the cage ready for reuse in the next cycle in the same furrow or in a different furrow. The block material in the cage is preferably added as a plurality of blocks so that the cage normally contains at least, for instance, 3 or 5 blocks and often up to, for instance, 15 or 20 blocks. The use of a plurality of blocks in this manner, coupled with the replenishment of the blocks between each cycle, results in more uniform availability of flocculant during each cycle and from one cycle to the next. If a single large block is used its surface area will gradually reduce, but if a plurality of blocks are used and are replenished then the total surface area is held more constant. Each block generally has a minimum dimension of at least 15 or 20 mm and often 30 mm or 50 mm, and a maximum dimension of 100 mm or more. Typically the blocks have a minimum dimension of 20 to 100 mm and a maximum dimension of 50 to 200 mm.

It is sometimes preferred for a dissolution position to be at the point where the water is supplied into the furrow from the header. Locating the flocculant only in the furrow eliminates the risk of flocculation and sedimentation of suspended material occurring in the header or of causing blockage in any pump used for delivering water from the header into the furrow. If flocculant is positioned in the header, it is generally preferred for the water to be supplied from the header into the furrow by a siphon, thereby facilitating the maintenance of satisfactory flow despite possible flocculation in the header.

The degree of turbulence is selected to obtain the desired dosage of flocculant. This is usually in the range 1 - 20 ppm, often 2 - 10 ppm.

The flocculant should be a high molecular weight 5 anionic polymeric flocculant which is effective for flocculating the suspended soil in the irrigation water to form visible granular flocs in the base of the furrow. Anionic flocculants are generally selected because they are the most effective and because they do not introduce 10 cationic components in the environment. The presence of cationic material such as alum or cationic polymeric material in the block is undesirable and should be avoided.

The anionic flocculant used in the invention is preferably a water-soluble polymer of water-soluble 15 ethylenically unsaturated anionic monomer, optionally copolymerised with water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated non-ionic monomer, for instance in an amount of 0 to 95% by weight of the monomer blend. The preferred flocculants are copolymers of acrylamide with 5 to 50 mol% water-soluble 20 anionic monomer. Any suitable ethylenically unsaturated anionic carboxylic or sulphonic monomer may be used, but the preferred polymers are copolymers of 90 to 95% by weight acrylamide and 5 to 50 wt% sodium acrylate. Particularly suitable materials are sold by Allied Colloids 25 Limited under the trade names Magnafloc 155 and Magnafloc 156 and Magnafloc LT25 in UK and Percol 155 and Percol 156 and Percol LT25 in USA.

In order that the desired flocculation of suspended 30 soil particles does occur, it is necessary for the polymer to have a high molecular weight, preferably above 10 million.

It is convenient to define molecular weight in terms 35 of intrinsic viscosity, measured using a suspended level viscometer at 25°C in 1N sodium chloride buffered to pH7. The preferred flocculants for use in the invention have intrinsic viscosity (IV) at least 10 dl/g and preferably at

least 12 dl/g. For instance it may be 14 to 30 dl/g most preferably around 15 to 20 dl/g.

Blocks consisting solely of the polymeric material may suffer from gel blocking and tend to dissolve at an 5 adequate rate only if the water flows over the block with an inconveniently large amount of turbulence. It is therefore preferred for the block material to be formed of a particulate flocculant bonded by water-soluble diluent into the block shape.

10 The particulate flocculant can have any desired particle size but it is usually below 2 mm and preferably at least 90% by weight of the flocculant particles are below 1 mm in size. It is particularly preferred that the particles should be smaller than this, preferably at least 15 90% by weight below 100 or 150  $\mu\text{m}$  in size. The use of polymer particles, and especially very fine polymer particles, can facilitate rapid dissolution of the polymer, especially when the individual particles are eroded from the block and entrained in the turbulent water.

20 The powdered polymer is preferably made by reverse phase bead polymerisation followed by drying and separation of the beads from the organic liquid. These methods typically give a particle size 90% by weight in the range 100 to 1000 $\mu\text{m}$  after removal of fines. The powdered 25 particles that are used can be coarse bead particles in this range but it is often preferred that they are a fraction separated from a mixture of bead lines and coarser beads. For instance the separated fines may have a size 90% by weight below 100 $\mu\text{m}$  or 150 $\mu\text{m}$  and often lower such as 30 90% by weight below 70 $\mu\text{m}$ . Alternatively the powder may have been made by gel polymerisation followed by comminution and drying (and often fractionation) or other conventional method.

The diluent by which the particles are bonded into 35 block material can be any water-soluble bonding agent by which the block can be formed and which will permit erosion of the block by the turbulent water. Preferably the

bonding agent is non phytotoxic, non toxic to the crops that are likely to be grown in the soil, non toxic to mammals and non toxic to fish. Preferably it is unattractive to vermin. Cationic materials are generally 5 undesirable and so the bonding agent is preferably non-ionic or anionic. The preferred bonding agent is polyethylene glycol but other water soluble non-anionic or slightly anionic polymeric binders may be used.

The bonding agent is preferably a meltable material, 10 ie a wax, since this facilitates the production of block material by forming a dispersion of the particulate polymeric flocculant (substantially anhydrous) with a melt of the bonding agent and converting the melt dispersion into block material of the desired shape. Suitable 15 techniques and materials are described in PCT/GB95/00282 (including the US designation therein) filed 10 February 1995 by Allied Colloids Limited *et al*, the entire disclosure of which is herein incorporated by reference. For instance powdered polymeric flocculant may be mixed 20 with a melt of the binder, the melt may be shaped into the desired block shape in or on a suitable mold and the melt may then be cooled so as to solidify the bonding agent.

The molten dispersion may be shaped by being poured on to a surface that will serve as an open mould or it may be 25 moulded under atmospheric pressure or under elevated pressure. For instance it can be made by a process comprising extruding the molten composition. It is also possible to make the block by extruding, cutting or otherwise shaping previously solidified composition to the 30 desired shape and size, provided the wax matrix is sufficiently soft to allow such extrusion, cutting or other shaping.

The dispersion of polymer particles in molten wax can 35 be made merely by blending the particles as a powder with the molten wax. The powder will usually have a size mainly above 10 $\mu$ m.

When the polymer particles are made by reverse phase polymerisation and supplied as a reverse phase dispersion, the dispersion of the particles in molten wax can be made by forming a hydrous or, preferably, anhydrous reverse phase dispersion of the polymer particles in a volatile organic liquid in which the wax is mixed (as a solution or dispersion), and evaporating the organic liquid by distillation at a temperature above the melting point of the wax. Usually the polymer particles are made by reverse phase polymerisation in volatile liquid in the absence of the wax to produce the polymer dispersion in volatile liquid, the wax is then mixed into the organic liquid, generally at a temperature which is above the melting point of the wax, and the organic liquid is then distilled from the dispersion. The polymerisation may be conducted in conventional manner using an initiator to induce polymerisation, a polymeric stabiliser and/or an emulsifier to promote the formation and stability of the initial dispersion. The particles may be below 10 $\mu\text{m}$  and made as a reverse phase emulsion, or may be coarser (e.g., 90% by weight between 100 $\mu\text{m}$  and 1mm) and made by reverse phase bead polymerisation.

Accordingly, in this reverse phase method the particles may have a size as low as, for instance, 0.01 $\mu\text{m}$  or as large as 1mm, but it is usually 0.05 to 50 $\mu\text{m}$  and generally 0.05 to 10 $\mu\text{m}$ .

The initial reverse phase dispersion contains water in the polymer and some or all of the water may be distilled during this distillation of the organic liquid if it has not previously been removed by distillation. Accordingly, the polymer particles in the cooled, solid product are usually substantially anhydrous, for instance containing below 10% water based on the weight of polymer.

Instead of making the particles by reverse phase polymerisation in the volatile liquid, it is possible to mix preformed powder into volatile liquid to form the starting dispersion.

The volatile liquid may be, for instance, an aliphatic or aromatic or cycloaliphatic hydrocarbon, an ether, an ester, or an alcohol, or a mixture of two or more of these, provided it is sufficiently volatile to be removable by 5 distillation at the end of the process and is essentially immiscible with water. Examples are Exxsol D40 (trade mark), toluene, xylene, hexane, cyclohexane, diacetone alcohol, ethyl acetate, butyl acetate, and propylene glycol monomethyl ether.

10 The wax which can be any water-soluble substance or mixture that is solid at normal temperatures but is liquid at the temperature at which the volatile liquid is removed or, in the process using powdered particles, at a convenient temperature for blending the wax with the 15 particles. Usually the melting point of the wax should be above 30°C and often above 40°C. It is usually undesirable to have to heat the mixture to too high a temperature and so preferably the melting point of the wax is not above 200°C, and most preferably it is not above 120°C. These 20 are the melting points of the matrix, and so may be the melting point of the single material, when the matrix is formed of a single material, or may be the melting point of the molten blend that forms the matrix.

25 The wax is preferably selected such that it melts or dissolves or disperses readily under normal conditions of use of the solid product.

The wax having the defined melting point may be a single material or may be a blend of one or more materials that will provide the matrix. Any such blend may include 30 material that does not form part of the melt phase when the total wax blend is molten, but the amount of non-meltable components is usually as low as possible since their inclusion will tend to reduce the amount of polymer particles that can be satisfactorily included in the 35 product form part of the melt phase.

The wax matrix may consist of or comprise water soluble or water dispersible waxes. The amount of such

waxes is preferably the predominant amount (above 50% by weight of the matrix) and is preferably above 80% by weight of the matrix. Examples include polyethylene glycols, for example, PEG 1450, PEG 4000, PEG 8000, polyethylene glycol esters, for example, PEG 8000 distearate, fatty acids, for example stearic acid or salts for example sodium or ammonium stearate, amides of fatty acids, for example, stearic acid diethanolamide, or fatty alcohols.

The amount of wax matrix or other bonding agent is usually at least 10% and generally at least 25% by weight of the block material. It tends to be uneconomic to use too much wax and so preferably the dry weight ratio polymer:wax is about 3:1 to 1:2. Best results are generally obtained with a ratio of about 2:1 to 1:1, for instance about 50 to 60% by weight polymeric flocculant and about 40 to 50% by weight PEG 4,000 or other wax.

Components that are introduced with the wax and which do not go into the melt phase are excluded when considering the ratio of polymer particles:wax matrix and are usually present in very small amounts, usually less than 20% and usually below 5% by weight of the melt phase. However in some instances larger amounts may be required when the components are to provide a useful effect. For instance additives to promote erosion and dissolution of the block material can be present in larger amounts, but usually any such additives are present in amounts of less than 20% of the total block weight.

It is proposed by Katzer in US 3,435,618 that the dissolution of his blocks can be accelerated by including, for instance, ammonium sulphate. We find that, in practice of the present invention, this may not increase the rate of availability of the polymer in the invention, partly because of the consequential reduction in the proportion of polymer in the block. Instead, we find that erosion of the block material and dissolution of the flocculant can be promoted better by imparting a foamed structure to the matrix, for instance by volatilising material within the

melt. The material which is volatilised may be, for instance, carbon dioxide which is liberated within the melt, for instance by thermal decomposition of a carbonate or bicarbonate, such as sodium bicarbonate. A suitable 5 amount of sodium bicarbonate or other decomposable carbonate or bicarbonate is from 3 to 15%, preferably around 5 to 10%, by weight of the total block material.

In another embodiment of the invention, a process of irrigating soil adjacent to an elongated furrow that leads 10 from an inlet end to an outlet end comprises

providing a supply of water from a header into the inlet end and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow, and reducing erosion of the furrow and 15 increasing infiltration by flocculating suspended soil particles from the water by flowing the water in the header or the inlet end over solid anionic polymeric flocculant which is a water-soluble polymer of water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated anionic monomer optionally with 20 non-ionic monomer wherein the polymer has IV at least 12 dl/g and preferably at least 15 dl/g. Preferably the polymeric flocculant is provided as block material formed of particles of the flocculant bonded by a water-soluble meltable binder and the block material is located at a 25 dissolution position where the water pours downwardly over the block material. Preferably this is from a weir or from a discharge duct. For instance it may be in the inlet end beneath the discharge from a pump from the header.

A preferred process according to the invention 30 comprises pumping irrigation water into the inlet end of the furrow and discharging the water over block material comprising solid anionic polymeric flocculant and thereby dissolving flocculant into the water and flocculating suspended solids in the furrow and improving infiltration 35 of irrigation water from the furrow, wherein the block material is formed of flocculant particles having a size 10 $\mu\text{m}$  to 2 mm formed from acrylamide and 5 to 50 mol% sodium

acrylate and having intrinsic viscosity at least 12 dl/g and bonded by water-soluble polyethylene glycol.

In another aspect of the invention, instead of using, and removing before substantial run-off occurs, the water erodible block material described above, erosion of the furrow is reduced and infiltration is increased throughout the process by flocculant suspended soil particles from the water by including dissolved polymer flocculant agent into the water substantially only during the advance stage, in which the dissolved polymeric flocculant agent is included in the water by contacting the water in the header or in the inlet end turbulently with the polymeric flocculant in solid form from the start of the advance stage and terminating the contact before there is substantial run-off thus in this embodiment the water may be contacted with the solid flocculant by adding the flocculant as powder to the water from the start of the advance stage and turbulently mixing the powder into the water and terminating the addition of the powder before there is substantial run-off. Thus the powder may be added at a dissolution position in the header or in the inlet end where the water pours downwardly over a weir or from a discharge duct by which the water is pumped or syphoned from the header into the inlet end.

The following examples illustrate the invention.

Example 1

A field in California was being irrigated by a system of substantially parallel header and tail ditches with furrows leading between them. A pump was used to lift water out of the header ditch and, at any one time, to discharge water into the header end of several of the furrows. When adequate irrigation from those furrows had been achieved, the outlets from the pump were moved to feed water to other furrows. Water was pumped into each furrow at the rate of about 35 litres (8 US gallons) per minute.

When no treatment had been applied to the water, it was found that the furrows tended to become compacted and

caked and the water flowed through the furrows quickly carrying a substantial amount of suspended soil from the furrows with it into the tail ditch.

5       Cylindrical blocks were formed by slurring 60% Magnafloc 155 (IV 18 dL/g copolymer of acrylamide and sodium acrylate) fine beads in 40% molten polyethylene glycol and then solidifying the melt dispersion in the shape of blocks. The beads had a size below 150 $\mu$ m.

10      A block was inserted at the head of a fresh furrow, positioned where the water was pumped into the furrow and flowed over the block. It was found that this furrow did not become caked, the water took much longer to reach the tail ditch (because of permeation of water through the furrow) and the water that did reach the tail ditch was 15 much clearer and contained much less suspended soil.

Example 2

Blocks were made as in Example 1 to weights of 300 grams, 150 grams and 75 grams. Irrigation was conducted through 5 furrows fed from a single header ditch, the slope 20 was 1.5% and the erosion on this particular field had previously been considered severe. The soil was a silt loam and onions were recently seeded prior to the application.

25      Each of the furrows was fed with irrigation water at about 30 litres per minute from the header ditch by a syphon tube leading into the inlet end of that furrow.

In Furrow A, a 300 gram block was located directly beneath the syphon tube such that the water poured onto it a splashed it.

30      In Furrow B, a 150 gram block was positioned in a similar dissolution position.

In Furrow C, a 75 gram block was positioned in a similar position.

35      In Furrow D, a similar 75 gram block was laid in the base of the furrow 45 cm downstream from the syphon tube outlet.

In Furrow E, no block was provided.

Water started flowing down all five furrows at approximately the same time. After about 7 1/2 hours the blocks in Furrows B and C had been completely eroded but in Furrow D about half the block remained and some block  
5 remained in Furrow A. Run-off had started in Furrow E and was cloudy. Run-off had not yet started in the treated furrows. The water in these appeared clear.

After about another two hours the block in Furrow A had completely eroded and run-off had started from Furrows  
10 A, B and C and was substantially clear water with no evidence of significant suspended solids. Run-off in Furrow D had not yet started and the water in this furrow was slightly turbid. The block had completely degraded. The water flowing from Furrow E was turbid.

15 After 24 hours total flow, water from Furrows A, B and C were still running clear with no evidence of erosion. Water from Furrow D was running off slightly turbid and there was some evidence of erosion. Water from Furrow E was loaded with sediment.

20 Example 3

Blocks each having dimensions of about 100 mm x 100 mm x 100 mm were formed by casting a melt dispersion as in Example 1. These blocks were broken down into small blocks having a size about 25 mm x 25 mm x 25 mm.

25 A furrow irrigation system consisting of a header ditch and parallel furrows leading to a tail ditch and extending over about two hectares was created in a clay loam soil being used for growing corn. Water was supplied to the head ditch at about 0.9 cubic feet per second (approximately 1,600 litres per minute).

30 5 kg of the small polymer blocks were placed in a wire basket having a mesh size of about 12 mm which was suspended in the upstream end of the header ditch immediately downstream of a weir, created in part by the  
35 basket, arranged such that water fell from the centre of the head ditch onto the blocks. This released about 10 ppm

of polymer into the irrigation water which was syphoned from the header ditch into the furrows.

The advance time through the furrows was about 9 hours at which time the basket was removed. It contained 1 to 2 kg block and thus the total dosage had been a little under 1 kg block per acre. Irrigation was continued, in the absence of the block material, for 15 hours after run-off started.

When compared to a corresponding furrow irrigation area where block material had not been used, it was apparent that the presence of the block material during the advance stage had increased infiltration, rendered the run-off liquid substantially clear, throughout the run-off period, caused flocculation in the furrow, and prevented erosion.

As a result of the invention, it is possible to improve infiltration significantly, often by 15% and sometimes by as much as 40% or more, and it is possible to essentially eliminate the previous need for repeated manual clearing of the furrows.

Example 4

This example shows the preparation of a foamed block for use in the invention.

A blend was formed of 50 pbw bead fines of a copolymer of acrylamide and sodium acrylate having IV 18 dl/g and 50 pbw polyethylene glycol 4,000 by mixing the materials at a temperature at which the polyethylene glycol is molten. The resultant melt dispersion was cast into blocks and allowed to cool.

This process was also conducted with the addition into the melt dispersion of amounts of sodium bicarbonate ranging from 2 to 15 pbw.

The blocks were then positioned under falling water and the rate of dissolution of the blocks was observed.

As regards manufacture, it was found that the melt dispersion became increasingly difficult to handle and cast

as the amount of sodium bicarbonate increased, especially above 10 pbw.

As regards the rate of dissolution, it was found that this increased as the amount of sodium bicarbonate in the melt dispersion increased, and that the best combination of solubility and workability was achieved at around 6 to 10 pbw sodium bicarbonate.

Observation of the blocks showed that the solid blocks had a foamed structure due to the liberation of carbon dioxide from the sodium bicarbonate during the melt mixing and as the molten mix was gradually cooled to form blocks.

CLAIMS

1. A process of irrigating soil adjacent to an elongated furrow that leads from an inlet end to an outlet end, the process comprising
  - 5 providing a supply of water from a header into the inlet end and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow as the water advances towards the outlet during an advance stage and thereafter as the water runs-off from the outlet end, and
    - 10 then terminating the supply, and in which erosion of the furrow is reduced and the infiltration is increased throughout the process by flocculating suspended soil particles from the water by positioning water-erodible block material which contains water-soluble polymeric flocculant at a dissolution position in the header or inlet end and flowing the supply of water turbulently over the block material from the start of the advance stage and thereby dissolving flocculant into the water,
    - 20 and removing any residual block material from the dissolution position before substantial run-off occurs.
  2. A process according to claim 1 in which the water falls onto exposed surfaces of the block material.
  - 25 3. A process according to claim 1 or claim 2 in which the dissolution position is located at a point where the water falls downwardly onto the block material from a weir in the header or in the inlet end or from a discharge duct from the header into the inlet end.
  - 30 4. A process according to any preceding claim in which the block material is contained within a cage, the cage is located in a dissolution position before or at the start of the advance stage, and the cage is removed from the dissolution position before substantial run-off occurs.
  - 35 5. A process according to claim 4 in which the cage is removed while it contains undissolved block material and additional block material is added to the cage and the

process is repeated of positioning the cage in the dissolution position, then flowing water turbulently over the block material in the cage during the advance stage, and then removing the cage before substantial run-off occurs.

5. A process according to any preceding claim in which the flocculant is a copolymer of water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated anionic monomer optionally with water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated non-ionic monomer and has intrinsic viscosity at least 10 dl/g.
10. 6. A process according to claim 5 in which the flocculant is a copolymer of 50 to 95% by weight acrylamide and 5 to 50% by weight sodium acrylate.
15. 7. A process according to claim 6 in which the flocculant has intrinsic viscosity at least 15 dl/g.
8. A process according to any preceding claim in which the flocculant has intrinsic viscosity at least 15 dl/g.
15. 9. A process according to any preceding claim in which the flocculant in the block material is present as particles at least 90% by weight below 1 mm.
20. 10. A process according to any preceding claim in which the flocculant is present in the block material as particles at least 90% by weight between 10 $\mu$ m and 150 $\mu$ m.
11. 10. A process according to claim 9 or claim 10 in which the flocculant particles are beads made by reverse phase polymerisation.
25. 12. A process according to any preceding claim in which the block material comprises flocculant particles bonded into one or more blocks by water-soluble wax matrix wherein the wax is solid at 20°C and melts below 250°C and the dry weight ratio polymer:wax is 3:1 to 1:2.
30. 13. A process according to claim 12 in which the wax is polyethylene glycol and the dry weight ratio polymer:wax is 2:1 to 1:1.
14. A process according to claim 12 or claim 13 in which the wax matrix is foamed.
35. 15. A process of irrigating soil adjacent an elongated furrow that leads from an inlet end to an outlet end, the process comprising providing a supply of water from a

- header into the inlet end and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow, and in which erosion of the furrow is reduced and the infiltration is increased by
- 5        5 flocculating suspended soil particles from the water by flowing the water in the header or the inlet end over solid anionic polymeric flocculant and in which the solid flocculant is a water-soluble polymer of water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated anionic monomer optionally with
- 10      10 non-ionic monomer and having intrinsic viscosity at least 10 dl/g.
16. A process according to claim 15 in which the block material comprises particles of the flocculant bonded into a block by a water-soluble bonding agent.
- 15      15 17. A process according to claim 16 in which the flocculant is provided as block material comprising particles of acrylamide-sodium acrylate polymeric flocculant bonded as a block in a matrix of polyethylene glycol.
- 20      20 18. A process according to any of claims 15 to 17 in which the flocculant has intrinsic viscosity at least 12 dl/g and the solid anionic polymeric flocculant is positioned in the inlet end of the furrow at a position where water flows over the block from the header.
- 25      25 19. A process of irrigating soil adjacent to an elongated furrow that leads from an inlet end to an outlet end, the process comprising
- providing a supply of water from a header into the inlet end and allowing the supplied water to flow along the furrow and to infiltrate from the furrow into the soil adjacent the furrow as the water advances towards the outlet during an advance stage and thereafter as the water runs-off from the outlet end, and
- then terminating the supply, and in which
- 30      30 35 erosion of the furrow is reduced and infiltration is increased throughout the process by including dissolved polymeric flocculant in the water substantially only during

the advance stage by contacting the water in the header or  
the inlet end turbulently with the polymeric flocculant in  
solid form from the start of the advance stage and  
substantially terminating the contact before substantial  
5 run-off occurs from the outlet end of the furrow.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/GB 95/01659

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 A01G25/00 E02B13/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 A01G E02B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US,A,4 577 802 (KELLER) 25 March 1986 see column 2, line 1 - line 17; claim 6 ---	1,15,19
A	US,A,3 435 618 (KATZER) 1 April 1969 cited in the application see the whole document ---	1,15,19
A	US,A,4 649 110 (MOSHE SHILO) 10 March 1987 see column 3, line 41 - line 45 see column 4, line 13 - line 18 see column 7, line 60 - column 8, line 11 -----	1,15,19

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- 'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- '&' document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 October 1995

Date of mailing of the international search report

06.11.95

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Herygers, J

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Appl. No.  
PCT/GB 95/01659

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US-A-4577802	25-03-86	US-A-	4673128	16-06-87
US-A-3435618	01-04-69	NONE		
US-A-4649110	10-03-87	US-A-	4826624	02-05-89
		US-A-	5250201	05-10-93
		US-A-	4693842	15-09-87
		US-A-	4894161	16-01-90